

CAMPO DRAFT LAPP SCORING CRITERIA

26-Jul-10

Criteria	Max Points	How it's scored
Highway Effectiveness	20	<p>5 current volume/capacity on the existing facility, or for new location projects, the v/c on parallel facilities being improved (at the discretion of the LAPP Committee)</p> <p>5 1 point for each CMP strategy incorporated, for a max of 5 points</p> <p>5 FHWA crash reduction factor associated with project,</p> <p>5 EPDO Score from TEAAS Report</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Scale to be created based on projects received</p>
		<p>-V/C < .2 = 0 points</p> <p>-V/C < .4 = 2 points</p> <p>-V/C < .6 = 3 points</p> <p>-V/C < .8 = 4 points</p> <p>-V/C > .8 = 5 points</p>
		<p>-CRF < 10% = 0 points</p> <p>-CRF > 10% = 1 point</p> <p>-CRF > 20% = 2 points</p> <p>-CRF > 30% = 3 points</p> <p>-CRF > 40% = 4 points</p> <p>-CRF > 50% = 5 points</p>
Bike/Ped Effectiveness	25	<p>Length of Facility as completed via project:</p> <p>5 Missing Link: Project must connect on both sides to an existing bike/ped facility to qualify for these points.</p> <p>5 Major Obstacle: Project must overcome a railroad, river, or limited access roadway Link must be CREATED, NOT IMPROVE AN EXISTING</p> <p>5 Safety Concern: Project is in an area with documented bicycle or pedestrian crash history related to facility design deficiency</p> <p>5 Proven Demand: The project is within 1/4 mile of a transit service, or addresses an obvious pedestrian footpath on a residential collector or higher</p> <p>5 Connections: Project must be within 1/4 mile of activity centers, high-density residential, or government facilities</p>
		<p>< .5 mi 1 point</p> <p>.5 mi to 2 mi 3 points</p> <p>>2 mi 5 points</p>
Local Priority	12	Local agencies are given 15 points to distribute amongst projects submitted. No project can receive less than 1 point; no project can receive more than 12 points.
Local Match	15	<p>30% + = 5 points</p> <p>40%+ = 10 points</p> <p>50% + =15 points</p>
Planning Consistency Highway	10	<p>2015 / 1st horizon year = 10 points</p> <p>2025 / 2nd horizon year = 5 points</p> <p>2035 / 3rd horizon year = 3 points</p> <p>Post year / CTP = 0 points</p>
Planning Consistency Bike/Ped	5	Project must be identified specifically on a locally-adopted transportation plan
Phase	10	<p>Construction = 10 points</p> <p>ROW = 5 points</p> <p>NEPA/Design = 3 points</p>
Prior Agency Funding	10	<p>-Funding = \$0 = 10 points</p> <p>-Funding < \$50 = 8 points</p> <p>-Funding < \$100 = 6 points</p> <p>-Funding < \$150 = 4 points</p> <p>-Funding < \$200 = 2 points</p> <p>-Funding => \$200 = 0 points</p> <p>NCDOT Div projects will be awarded points based on project location NCDOT Div projects will be included in prior funding for local govt where project is located Regionally significant projects (interchanges, ITS, Safety projects) completed by NCDOT will not count against prior funding for local government where project is located Population estimates will be the certified estimates used to determine dues paid to MPO by local governments</p>
Cost Effectiveness	13	<p>Formula for determining cost effectiveness:</p> $\frac{\text{(Total Points - Local Match Points)}}{\text{Federal Cost Share of Project}}$ <p>Points will be scaled, with top project earning 13 points and bottom project earning 0 points</p>

TOTALS				
	Hwy Max Points	% of Total Hwy	Bike/Ped Max Points	% of Total Bike/Ped
Highway Effectiveness	20	22.22%		0.00%
Bike/Ped Effectiveness		0.00%	25	27.78%
Local Priority	12	13.33%	12	13.33%
Local Match	15	16.67%	15	16.67%
Planning Consistency	10	11.11%	5	5.56%
Phase	10	11.11%	10	11.11%
Prior Agency Funding	10	11.11%	10	11.11%
Cost Effectiveness	13	14.44%	13	14.44%
Total:	90	100%	90	100%