

# CAMPO LAPP SCORING CRITERIA

16-Aug-11

Criteria	Max Points	How it's scored
<b>Highway Effectiveness</b>	<b>20</b>	<p>5 current volume/capacity on the existing facility, or for new location projects, the v/c on parallel facilities being improved (at the discretion of the LAPP Committee)</p> <p>5 1 point for each CMP strategy incorporated, for a max of 5 points</p> <p>5 FHWA crash reduction factor associated with project,</p> <p>5 EPDO Score from TEAAS Report</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Scale to be created based on projects received</p>
		<p>-V/C &lt; .2 = 0 points                      -V/C &lt; .4 = 2 points                      -V/C &lt; .6 = 3 points                      -V/C &lt; .8 = 4 points                      -V/C &gt; .8 = 5 points</p> <p>-CRF &lt; 10% = 0 points                      -CRF &gt; 10% = 1 point                      -CRF &gt; 20% = 2 points                      -CRF &gt; 30% = 3 points                      -CRF &gt; 40% = 4 points                      -CRF &gt; 50% = 5 points</p>
<b>Bike/Ped Effectiveness</b>	<b>25</b>	<p>5 Missing Link: Length of Facility as completed via project:                      Project must connect on both sides to an existing bike/ped facility to qualify for these points.</p> <p>5 Major Obstacle: Project must overcome a significant obstacle, and provide a new connection for cyclists or pedestrians.</p> <p>5 Safety Concern: Project is in an area with documented bicycle or pedestrian crash history related to facility design deficiency</p> <p>5 Proven Demand: The project is within 1/4 mile of a transit service, or addresses an obvious pedestrian footpath on a residential collector or higher</p> <p>5 Connections: Project must be within 1/4 mile of activity centers, high-density residential, or government facilities</p>
		<p>&lt; .5 mi 1 point                      .5 mi to 2 mi 3 points                      &gt;2 mi 5 points</p> <p>Railroad, River or Limited Access Roadway 5 points                      Other 4+ Lane Roadway 3 points</p>
<b>Local Priority</b>	<b>12</b>	<p>Local agencies are given 15 points to distribute amongst projects submitted.                      No project can receive less than 1 point; no project can receive more than 12 points.</p>
<b>Local Match</b>	<b>15</b>	<p>30% + = 5 points                      40%+ = 10 points                      50% + = 15 points</p>
<b>Planning Consistency Highway</b>	<b>10</b>	<p>2015 / 1st horizon year = 10 points                      2025 / 2nd horizon year = 5 points                      2035 / 3rd horizon year = 3 points                      Post year / CTP = 0 points</p>
<b>Planning Consistency Bike/Ped</b>	<b>5</b>	<p>Project must be identified specifically on a locally-adopted transportation plan</p>
<b>Phase</b>	<b>10</b>	<p>Construction = 10 points                      ROW = 5 points                      NEPA/Design = 3 points</p>
<b>Prior Agency Funding</b>	<b>10</b>	<p>-Funding = \$0 = 10 points                      -Funding &lt; \$50 = 8 points                      -Funding &lt; \$100 = 6 points                      -Funding &lt; \$150 = 4 points                      -Funding &lt; \$200 = 2 points                      -Funding =&gt; \$200 = 0 points</p> <p>NCDOT Div projects will be awarded points based on project location where project is located                      Regionally significant projects (interchanges, ITS, Safety projects) completed by NCDOT will not count against prior funding for local government where project is located                      Population estimates will be the certified estimates used to determine dues paid to MPO by local governments</p>
<b>Cost Effectiveness</b>	<b>13</b>	<p>Formula for determining cost effectiveness:</p> $\frac{\text{(Total Points - Local Match Points)}}{\text{Federal Cost Share of Project}}$ <p>Points will be scaled, with top project earning 13 points and bottom project earning 0 points</p>

TOTALS				
	Hwy Max Points	% of Total Hwy	Bike/Ped Max Points	% of Total Bike/Ped
Highway Effectiveness	20	22.22%		0.00%
Bike/Ped Effectiveness		0.00%	25	27.78%
Local Priority	12	13.33%	12	13.33%
Local Match	15	16.67%	15	16.67%
Planning Consistency	10	11.11%	5	5.56%
Phase	10	11.11%	10	11.11%
Prior Agency Funding	10	11.11%	10	11.11%
Cost Effectiveness	13	14.44%	13	14.44%
Total:	90	100%	90	100%