

Attachment 8A

From: Voelker, Don [djvoelker@ncdot.gov]
Sent: Monday, November 23, 2009 4:08 PM
To: Johnson, Ed
Cc: Bowman, John W; Patel, Alpesh G; Wasserman, David S
Subject: RE: CAMPO's Rankings of I, R, U Projects in SPOT Template

Ed,

Thanks for the e-mail Ed. To further clarify the outcome of our meeting:

The other non-ranked 401 project and the other three I-40 projects will still be shown in the template as candidate projects. The Division Engineer will have the opportunity to rank any of these, in addition to those ranked by CAMPO. In other words, those projects are not "forgotten". It is possible that the quantitative scores for some of those projects may result in higher final scores when we finish scoring these projects in the matrix.

The Rail Grade Crossing project has also been added to the list of potential rail projects to be prioritized by the Rail Division.

We fully understand that CAMPO wants NCDOT management to realize all these projects are very important to fulfilling the needs of the Region and have statewide implication needs.

Hope this helps clarify. Please let me know if you need more information.

Thanks,
Don Voelker

-----Original Message-----

From: Johnson, Ed [<mailto:Ed.Johnson@ci.raleigh.nc.us>]
Sent: Friday, November 20, 2009 4:36 PM
To: Voelker, Don
Cc: Bowman, John W; Patel, Alpesh G; Wasserman, David S
Subject: Re: CAMPO's Rankings of I, R, U Projects in SPOT Template

Please resend this with additional information on what else is to be done on those larger project requests to move forward on addressing the issues we are raising on these very important statewide and regional transportation needs. We don't want to see the rest of these projects not get the attention they deserve.

Edison Johnson (via iPhone)

On Nov 20, 2009, at 11:16 AM, "Voelker, Don" <djvoelker@ncdot.gov> wrote:

>

> Ed,

>

> Thank you for meeting with Wally Bowman, Alpesh Patel, David Wasserman
> and myself on Wednesday, Nov. 18 to discuss CAMPO's rankings of
> highway projects as part of the Department's strategic prioritization

- > process. We sincerely appreciate your willingness to
- > work with us on the rankings. As a result of our discussion and
- > your agreement, we have adjusted the rankings of Nos. 2 through 4 as
- > follows:
- >
- > **2. US 401 SPOT ID 43083 TIP R-2814C. US 401 from north Of**
- > **SR 2044 (Ligon Mill Road). Widen To Multi-Lanes, Some New Location**
- > **- NC 96 To SR 1103.**
- >
- > **3. Rail Grade Crossing at Hillsborough/Blue Ridge Road. SPOT ID**
- > **43652 Construct A Grade Separation."**
- >
- > **4. I-40 South Widening. SPOT ID 42776 I-5111BA . I-440/Us 64 (Exit**
- > **301) To US 70 Clayton Bypass. Add Lanes - US 70 Business (Exit 306)**
- > **To Johnston County Line.**
- >
- > Remaining rankings of 5-25 unchanged.
- >
- > Again, thanks for the cooperation.
- >
- > Don Voelker
- > Director, SPOT
- > (919) 715-0951
- >
- > Email correspondence to and from this sender is subject to the N.C.
- > Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties.

Email correspondence to and from this sender is subject to the N.C. Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties.